

Bound states of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ charged particle in a magnetic flux tube

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 7061

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/31/34/009>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.102

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:10

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Bound states of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ charged particle in a magnetic flux tube

R M Cavalcanti^{†§} and C A A de Carvalho^{‡||}

[†] Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4030, USA

[‡] Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68528, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21945-970, Brazil

Received 11 May 1998, in final form 18 June 1998

Abstract. We study a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ charged particle with gyromagnetic factor $g > 2$ moving in a plane threaded by a magnetic flux tube. We prove that, if the magnetic field (i) has radial symmetry, (ii) has compact support and (iii) does not change sign, there is at least one bound state for each angular momentum ℓ in the interval $[0, v]$, where v (assumed positive) is the total magnetic flux in units of the quantum of flux.

Let us consider a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle with charge e and gyromagnetic factor g , moving in a plane threaded by a magnetic field. Its dynamics is governed by the Pauli Hamiltonian, which, when specialized to the case where the magnetic field points in the z direction, can be written as $[2m = \hbar = c = 1; \sigma_z = \text{diag}(1, -1)]$

$$H = (-i\nabla - e\mathbf{A})^2 - \frac{1}{2}geB\sigma_z \equiv \text{diag}(H_\uparrow, H_\downarrow). \quad (1)$$

For $g = 2$ (the value which emerges naturally when one derives the Pauli Hamiltonian as the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac Hamiltonian), it is possible to show [1–3] that the above Hamiltonian has zero-energy normalizable[¶] eigenstates if the total magnetic flux $\Phi \equiv \int B(\mathbf{x})d^2x$ is larger than $\Phi_0 = 2\pi/|e|$ (in general, there are N such states if $N\Phi_0 < \Phi \leq (N+1)\Phi_0$).

On the other hand, the experimental value of the electron g -factor is not 2, but 2.0023 (this ‘anomaly’ can be understood as a result of QED radiative corrections [4]). This has motivated some investigations of the case $g > 2$ in the last few years. In this case, qualitatively new phenomena occur: (a) an arbitrarily small (but nonzero) magnetic flux Φ can create a negative-energy state [5–7], and (b) even if $\Phi = 0$, bound states can appear if the magnetic field is strong enough [8].

A very important limitation of (a) is that it has been proved only for a few types of magnetic field profiles, and so it is not known to what extent it is a general result. The purpose of this paper is to partially fill this gap. We shall prove that, if $g > 2$ and the magnetic field satisfies the following conditions: (i) it has radial symmetry, (ii) it has compact support (and so the flux tube has a well-defined radius R) and (iii) it does not

[§] E-mail address: rmc@itp.ucsb.edu

^{||} E-mail address: aragao@if.ufrj.br

[¶] Such states are not normalizable if the magnetic field has a δ -function singularity [6, 7].

change sign (without loss of generality, we shall suppose that $eB(r) \geq 0$), then H_\uparrow has at least $[v] + 1$ eigenstates with negative energy, where $v \equiv \Phi/\Phi_0$ and $[v]$ denotes its integer part (i.e. $v = [v] + \epsilon$, $0 \leq \epsilon < 1$)[†].

In order to prove this assertion, we first note that, because of (i), we can choose the vector potential in the symmetric gauge, $\mathbf{A} = A_\varphi(r)\mathbf{e}_\varphi$, in terms of which the magnetic field is written as

$$B(r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} [r A_\varphi(r)]. \quad (2)$$

In this gauge, the time-independent Schrödinger equation is separable into polar coordinates (r, φ) , and one can perform a partial-wave decomposition.

Now, let us consider the following variational wavefunctions:

$$\psi_\ell(r, \varphi) = e^{i\ell\varphi} \times \begin{cases} a_\ell r^\ell e^{-e\phi(r) - \alpha r} & \text{for } 0 < r < R \\ b_\ell K_{|\ell-v|}(\mu r) & (\mu > 0) \quad \text{for } r > R \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\phi(r) \equiv \int_0^r A_\varphi(r') dr'$ and $K_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function [9]. The coefficients a_ℓ and b_ℓ are chosen in such a way that $\psi_\ell(r, \varphi)$ is continuous at $r = R$ and $\langle \psi_\ell | \psi_\ell \rangle = 1$. The latter condition can only be fulfilled if $\ell \geq 0$, in order that $|\psi_\ell|^2$ be integrable at the origin (integrability at infinity is ensured by the positivity of μ). We shall also impose that $\partial_r \psi_\ell(r, \varphi)$ be continuous at $r = R$; together with the continuity of $\psi_\ell(r, \varphi)$, this implies that μ must satisfy the following equation:

$$\frac{\mu R K'_{|\ell-v|}(\mu R)}{K_{|\ell-v|}(\mu R)} = -v + \ell - \alpha R \quad (4)$$

where we have used the identity

$$\phi'(R) = A_\varphi(R) = \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R B(r) r dr = \frac{v}{eR}. \quad (5)$$

Since the l.h.s. of (4) tends to $-|\ell - v|$ when $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$, and it behaves asymptotically as $-\mu R$ when $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ [9], that equation will have a positive root if $\ell \leq v$ and $\alpha > 0$.

We have thus obtained $[v] + 1$ normalizable functions $\psi_\ell(r, \varphi)$ ($\ell = 0, 1, \dots, [v]$) which, together with $\partial_r \psi_\ell(r, \varphi)$, are continuous at $r = R$. Now, we shall show that, for each of these functions, it is possible to find a positive α such that $\langle \psi_\ell | H_\uparrow | \psi_\ell \rangle < 0$. It then follows from the variational principle that there exists (at least) one negative-energy eigenstate of H_\uparrow with angular momentum ℓ . In fact, a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_\ell | H_\uparrow | \psi_\ell \rangle &= 2\pi |a_\ell|^2 \int_0^R \left[\frac{(2\ell + 1)\alpha}{r} - 2\alpha e A_\varphi(r) - \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2}(g - 2)eB(r) \right] \\ &\quad \times r^{2\ell} e^{-2e\phi(r) - 2\alpha r} r dr - 2\pi |b_\ell|^2 \mu^2 \int_R^\infty K_{|\ell-v|}^2(\mu r) r dr \\ &\leq 2\pi |a_\ell|^2 \int_0^R \left[\frac{(2\ell + 1)\alpha}{r} - \frac{1}{2}(g - 2)eB(r) \right] r^{2\ell} e^{-2e\phi(r) - 2\alpha r} r dr. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Our goal will be accomplished if we choose a positive α satisfying

$$\alpha < \frac{(g - 2)e \int_0^R B(r) r^{2\ell+1} e^{-2e\phi(r) - 2\alpha r} dr}{2(2\ell + 1) \int_0^R r^{2\ell} e^{-2e\phi(r) - 2\alpha r} dr}. \quad (7)$$

[†] Here, and in what follows, we are assuming that $v > 0$. $v = 0$ corresponds to the absence of a magnetic field, in which case there are no bound states (instead of $[v] + 1$).

That such a choice is possible follows from the fact that the r.h.s. of the above inequality is a continuous function of α , and (if $v > 0$) it tends to a positive number when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof.

Finally, we make a couple of remarks: (i) an ‘extra’ bound state (with $\ell > v$) can show up, provided $\ell - v < \alpha R/2$ (in this case too one can prove that equation (4) has a positive root); (ii) our proof breaks down if B has a δ -function singularity at the origin; this case requires a special treatment (see the discussion in [5, 6]), and will not be considered here.

Acknowledgments

We thank Eduardo Souza Fraga for useful conversations. This work was financially supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and, in part, by the National Science Foundation under grant no PHY94-07194.

References

- [1] Aharonov Y and Casher A 1979 *Phys. Rev. A* **19** 2461–2
- [2] Jackiw R 1984 *Phys. Rev. D* **29** 2375–7
- [3] Musto R, O’Raifeartaigh L and Wipf A 1986 *Phys. Lett.* **175B** 433–8
- [4] Schwinger J 1948 *Phys. Rev.* **73** 416
Schwinger J 1949 *Phys. Rev.* **76** 790–817
Schwinger J 1951 *Phys. Rev.* **82** 664–79
- [5] Bordag M and Voropaev S 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 7637–49
- [6] Moroz A 1996 *Phys. Rev. A* **53** 669–94
- [7] Cavalcanti R M, Fraga E S and de Carvalho C A A 1997 *Phys. Rev. B* **56** 9243–6
- [8] Bentosela F, Exner P and Zagrebnov V A 1998 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** L305–11
- [9] Abramowitz M and Stegun I A (ed) 1965 *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (New York: Dover)